

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_78101
Title of the Manuscript:	Spectroscopic Estimation of Doxylamine Succinate in Tablets and Human Plasma by Formation Ion-Pair Complex
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The introduction was discussed concerning the drug delivery system, it is more rational to cover the common introduction concerning method development and its applications. Needs to briefly discuss the reported method and how the present work is better or gives improved application to that of the reported work. The full name of ICH needs to change, the author gave the old name. The absorbance maxima values are not constant in text and in spectra, 410, 420, and 425 nm, why? How levels are defined in robustness for wavelength and temperature by mentioning the values low, high, and originals? It is not clear. No information was provided with a plasma source. The sensitivity of the method could not be acceptable for its application for the quantification of a drug from plasma. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As it is a colorimetric method why need to work in the UV region? As 200-800 nm was written. Why is the time and volume of reagent not considered for optimization purposes? As they are critical factors for the development of colour. Some of the references are irrelevant to the present work, need to be modified, change, or remove. How different temperature was controlled and monitored for the optimization of the method. 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Typographical mistakes need to correct like in the abstract the concentration range was given in gram. The reference style also needs to be revised as per journal requirements. 	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nasir Vadia
Department, University & Country	Saurashtra University, India