

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77966
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of Aspergillus sp in the production of protease enzyme with different substrates.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Please, correct all the unit that have been written which should use capital and which should not. Then the unit must be spaced.2. Consistency in using degrees whether °C or 0°C. it is better to use °C3. Please, Check again in writing abbreviations, the abbreviation used to be new in brackets like <i>Aspergillus niger</i> (<i>A. niger</i>).4. In the introduction section add a paragraph about the efficacy of protease enzyme production for microbial resources can be use full in industry.5. The research method used is not clear and specific, so it must be added for each treatment carried out in the research.6. For the results and discussion, each result obtained in this study is only mentioned but not discussed why like that, we have to interpret the data and the results obtained why this is so and refer to some literature.7. The data for the calculation of the number of fungal colonies should be shown especially in the discussion8. Add the calculation data for the specific enzyme activity of the protease enzyme.9. Latest references must be used	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Habibi Hidayat
Department, University & Country	Universitas Islam Indonesia, Indonesia