

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77949
Title of the Manuscript:	Green Synthesis and Antimicrobial Activity of Silver Nanoparticles Synthesised Using Terminalia chebula
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-PI add SEM images to account for the particle and morphologies. Read the draft carefully so the running typos, grammatical errors and badly constructed sentences may pl be omitted.- the UV-Vis spectrum has taken directly from the machine and presents poor resolution. PI plot the data to make it visible for the readers.-The heading should to be numbered- There is a small peak around 325 nm, the authors should comment on this.-in figure 6 captions and titles are s missing- tables captions and titles?- Conclusion must to revise to clearly summarise the findings.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Abstract need a clear and precise revision to reflect what has done in the study. - what is different in this study from previous reported research. REFERNCES ARE NOT WELL FORMATED	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Title could be change <ul style="list-style-type: none">- Introduction could be revised to make it coherent with the proposed study TABLES CAN BE PRESENTED IN GOOD FORMTE	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taj Muhammad Khan
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Lasers and Optronics, Paksitan