

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77811
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-cancer effects of Saraca Asoca flower extract on prostate cancer cell line
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments Revise and Resubmit	Authors attempt to find cancer potential of Saraca Asoca flower extract is commendable. The manuscript can be accepted with the minor revision including typographical check.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">IC-50 should be written IC₅₀.Typographical errors such as 37°C or CO2, should be corrected.In the introduction section the key phytoconstituents present in Saraca Asoca that are responsible for various pharmacological activities could be presented. The reported chemical structures of the phytoconstituents present in Saraca Asoca specially reported for the cancer if any should be provided.In discussion section, too many references are appearing related to morphology-related apoptosis it should be reduced.Conclusion portion must highlight the key results.	
Optional/General comments	Abstract is too long. Short and concise information must be provided in abstract section.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prashant Jagannath Chaudhari
Department, University & Country	R C Patel Institute of Pharmaceutical Education and Research, India