

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77811
Title of the Manuscript:	Anti-cancer effects of Saraca Asoca flower extract on prostate cancer cell line
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Saraca asoca plant name should be always in italics.• Abstract can be minimized which should be short and clear.• In Introduction part, there should be own sentence formation and not to be copied from web source.• In Methods and Materials the Reagents subheading can be removed.• Also the name of parameter for analysis to be mentioned and clearly explained• Mug – What is mug or its should be as mg.• No hyperlink should be mentioned• Ethanolic extract of Saraca asoca plant should be clearly mentioned in uniform manner.• Preparation of the Herbal Extract – very major mistakes and sentences – it must be corrected• Discussion must be interpreted well and Conclusion must be elaborate	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The arrangement of sentences in uniform and orderly framed.• The word hours (h) should be mentioned as hrs. IC-50 should be mentioned as IC⁵⁰• More spelling mistakes, eg: rot• Abbreviations must be explained	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Alignment should be followed clearly.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	J. Dhanalakshmi
Department, University & Country	Bharathidasan College of Arts and Science, India