Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77800
Title of the Manuscript:	Scooping review on the symptoms of Apana vayu vaigunya in relation with Atiyana
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is an intriguing study, as the authors have amassed a unique dataset in order to predict and write concisely. The chapter is well-written and structured in general. However, the paper, in my opinion, has certain shortcomings in terms of data analysis and writing. Below, I have made various comments on the text, which is frequently ambiguous and long-winded. Additionally, I proposed citing more recent and pertinent material in some situations. Additionally, I gave other recommendations for conducting more in-depth studies of the data. Comment 1: The manuscript needs a lot of work in terms of language and grammar. The entire document is filled with typos and grammatical errors that can't be overlooked, making the chapter difficult to comprehend. Several errors have been highlighted in the paragraph below, for reference: With the expansion of facilities of travel and occupation, man has to undertake extensive journeys, through diverse modes such as rail, road, water etc. The change in the social fabric has also urged the female population to undertake the strenuous and prolonged journey. The patterns and modes of working have also undergone significant changes due to mechanization, leading to sedentary occupational patterns, Most of these situations cited have been principally implicated in vaigunya of Apanavyu. In classics, Altyana is said to be the cause of many diseases. Even though the term Atiyana is not used in many of them, terms that resemble Atiyana were used. They are as follows atichamkramana, adhwa (excessive travel), margatikarsanath, sahasam (straiful work), aayasam, yanayana (long distance travel), hayaostra yana (travel on elephanats, horses, etc.), etc. Atiyana is regarded as one of the prime causes of the following diseases. Vatavyadi, vatajagulma, vatajakasa, kshatajkasa, swasa, rajayekshma etc. Atiyana produces several physical and mental stress. In the long run these physical and mental stress give way to severe musculoskeletal problems leading to loss of working hours due to ill health	
	Comment 5: "Since the stana of apana vayau is the important site of vata dosa, through the treatment of apana vayu all the other subtypes of vata can also be managed." Kindly be more detailed and perhaps you can add other details to this by citing more references.	
Minor REVISION comments	Comment A: Please add subtopic number/bullet to these subtopic:	
	Least repeated symptoms	
	The most repeatedly occurring symptoms	
	Moderately repeated symptoms	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

Optional/General comments	General Comments:	
	Authors should revise the references numbers in the text by keeping the multiple references in one bracket. The Author are requested to please maintain the reference sequence and they may remove the repeated reference in the list and update the reference with proper manuscript citation.	
	The topic of this current is current and extremely relevant, and the paper adequately addresses the study's objectives. In general, the data and experimental design are extremely effective at describing the authors' hypotheses. However, the manuscript contains a few grammatical issues and extensive edits as well which can't be ignored, as mentioned in the comments. Given these shortcomings, I recommend that this chapter requires minor revision and be accepted after addressing the comments, followed by revision.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohd Faizan Siddiqui
Department, University & Country	Osh State University, Kyrgyzstan

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)