

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77724
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF APOPTOTIC ACTIVITY OF ETHANOLIC EXTRACT OF ANDROGRAPHIS PANICULATA LINN IN HUMAN HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA CELL LINES
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.SENTENCE FORMATION IS INCOMPLETE IN MANY PLACES. 2. ABBREVIATIONS NEED TO WRITTEN COMPLETELY IN THE BEGINNING OF APPEARANCE. 3. NAME OF THE COMPOUND BY WHICH THE CELLS ARE TREATED IS NOT MENTIONED. 4. WHAT IS THE DOSAGE CHOSEN. 5. CONCLUSION SHOULD BE THE INFERENCE OBTAINED FROM THE RESEARCH RATHER THAN CONCLUSION FROM OTHER REFERNCE ARTICLE. 6. LOOK INTO THE GRAMMATICAL ERRORS IN THE CONCLUSION AND INTRODUCTION. 7. STATISTICAL ANALYSIS SHOULD COME BEFORE RESULTS.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anuroopa P
Department, University & Country	Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Science, India