Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77629
Title of the Manuscript:	Assisted Vaginal Delivery - Preference of Vacuum or Forceps among Obstetricians
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The study of Jennifer results are not written clearly as compared to the sentence written in stud	
Minor REVISION comments	 2. Preference of the instrument of choice with regards to indication of application is also not elicited 3. Age and experience of obstetrician is also a parameter which should be compared with regards to instrument of choice 	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vinita Sarbhai
Department, University & Country	University of Delhi, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)