Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_77511**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Ethnobotanical Note on the Medicinal Plants of the Lower Himachal Pradesh**
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments	**The manuscript is very poorly written. No care has been taken while writing the manuscript. There are lot of English typographical, grammatical and wording mistakes. As an example I have corrected the first few pages, but the authors should carefully revise the whole manuscript and should be sent to some English expertise for proofreading, editing and polishing the manuscript.** **Moreover, There are also lot of mistakes in references section. For example in reference 5; no Journal or book name is provided. Similarly in Reference 4; the journal name is not consistent.** **My suggestion is major revision.**	
Minor REVISION comments	Most of the wordings in the text are missing and many words have been written with wrong spellings. Moreover, in most cases two or three sentences have been mixed. Therefore, It seems to me that the authors have done it in order to avoid plagiarism.	
Optional/General comments	NA	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	**Dilfaraz Khan**
Department, University & Country	**Institute of Chemical Sciences Gomal University, Pakistan**