

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_77264
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on the Therapeutic management of COVID-19 associated with Thrombotic events and Coagulopathies.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.The article is poorly written 2.The abstract has several non scientific terms:chop chop,rumoured,overplus of publications which can be replaced by more appropriate terms A line on what is the conflicting information may be added 3.Introduction: full form of DIC is wrong AN:full form not written Some terms are not understood:blood illness Extended coagulase time? Prolonged APTT suggests the presence of a plasma protein? Antiplatelet therapy for prevention of COVID 19:incorrect 4. elevated D-dimer was predictive of mortality in severalstudies:only 1 ref given 5.thrombocytopenia and mortality:several studies:no ref given It is written haphazardly. Studies with one opinion may be written together 6.mechanism of thrombocytopenia:protoplasm count? Protoplast destruction? 7. conclusion:embarrassment of publications? Protein storm may be written as cytokine storm 8. the title says therapeutic management:very little mentioned about it Flow diagram has no caption and is not cited in text	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Spelling mistakes need to be corrected	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Meera Sikka
Department, University & Country	University College of Medical Sciences, Delhi University, India