Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	**Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International**
Manuscript Number:	**Ms_JPRI_77252**
Title of the Manuscript:	**Formulation, Development, evaluation and solubility enhancement of Lercanidipine Hydrochloride by solid dispersion techniques.**
Type of the Article	**Original Research Article**

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty'**, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	Minor Revision: **-Divide your article into clearly defined and numbered sections.** **- Language Editing mainly to the abstract and Introduction**	
Optional/General comments	• The article is original and display an innovation by using recent research techniques. • The title informative and relevant • References are relevant, recent, referenced correctly and appropriate key studies are included • The research question is properly outlined. • All the study methods are reliable, valid and reproducible • The data are presented in an appropriate way in the form of tables and figures. Also, definite unites, rounding and numbers are utilized. Titles, columns and rows are labelled in a clear correct way. • I have found the text in result section added to the data presented. Also, statistically significant results are presented clearly. Practically meaningful results are understood clearly. • The results are discussed from multiple angles and placed into context. • The conclusions give a clear answer of the study aim. The authors give a clear conclusions supported by results and references. Also, the study limitations give opportunities for future research. • I have found the study design answered the aim appropriately and added new information.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	**Author's comment** *(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)*
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	*(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)*	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	***Zeinab Abd Elkhalek Ali El-Shahed***
Department, University & Country	***National Research Centre, Giza, Egypt***