

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76880
Title of the Manuscript:	A Rare Case of Renal Squamous Cell Carcinoma with Underlying Staghorn Calculus as Confounding Factor
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Introduction: “In the upper urinary tract system, urothelial carcinoma is the most common type of malignancy. Conversely, squamous cell carcinoma (SCC) is rare and has a prevalence of <1% among urinary tract neoplasms. [2]” Reference 2 (Romeo at al) did not explain SCC. Please check the statement.- “A 65-year-old male came to a casualty with c/o pain over the left side of the abdomen for two months.” What does c/o stand for?- It seems to be good to provide renal function tests!- Figures 1, 2, and 6 seem to be good rewrites in a table or figure.- “The patient's CBC profile should be assessed at regular intervalssince it was found that the WBC trend was increasing in this patient.” Would you please provide an abbreviation list? Also, please check the spelling.- The manuscript should be proofread first.-	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<div>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</div> <div>There is no ethical approval, please provide it.</div>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Purba Akr
Department, University & Country	Universitas Airlangga, Indonesia