

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76813
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF LOW VERSUS STANDARD INTRAPERITONEAL PRESSURES IN GYNAECOLOGICAL LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	This study compares low with standard pneumoperitoneum during gynecological laparoscopy. The number of enrolled patients is small. The differences between the two methods, even if statistically significant, are minimal. Perhaps by increasing the number of patients, more secure data could be obtained	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Many typos in the manuscript, especially words without spaces.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paolo Campioni
Department, University & Country	Ferrara University, Italy