

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76813
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF LOW VERSUS STANDARD INTRAPERITONEAL PRESSURES IN GYNAECOLOGICAL LAPAROSCOPIC SURGERY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>The following sentence in the second paragraph in Introduction of the main article requires restructuring. It is incomplete in its current format.</b> "Furthermore, given the differences in patient positioning (Trendelenburg vs Fowler) and the nature of the surgeries in gynecologic laparoscopy"	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	The methodology does not explain the nature of the Visual analog scale and the rating points used.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Nagaraj Maradi
Department, University & Country	SS Institute of Medical Sciences & Research Centre, India