

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76768
Title of the Manuscript:	KNOWLEDGE REGARDING RISK FACTORS AND PREVENTIVE MEASURES OF VARICOSE VEIN AMONG INTENSIVE CARE UNIT STAFF NURSES
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Title :</b> In my opinion the word “awareness” would be more appropriate, instead of “knowledge”</p> <p><b>Introduction:</b> What this study adds as compared with previous studies?</p> <p><b>Material and Methods:</b> Data concerning questionnaire are missing. How the questionnaire was developed, on the basis of what studies / theory. Would the author attach the questionnaire or may they include in the methods some question examples? How long is the questionnaire? How many items are there? How long the participants get to complete the questionnaire? Is it filled as a self-report form or interview structured or an online survey? Were the questions of the questionnaires extrapolated by the informational booklet?</p> <p><b>Results:</b> How the levels of knowledge were decided? What does it means inadequate, moderate and adequate level of knowledge?</p> <p><b>Discussion:</b> Discussion should be thoroughly investigated: the authors don’t explain how age, clinical experience and educational degree have significant association with the knowledge of the risk of varicose vein and why some important variables as regular exercising and BMI were not associated, as would be expected. Lifestyle and wellness habits should be associated with the knowledge about the health risks. Moreover we expected that age, clinical experience and regular exercising could be associated.</p> <p><b>Conclusion:</b> What future intervention authors propose in order to raise risk of varicose veins awareness?</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In the table number 2 there is an error : 10<years should be changed in >10 years	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	This study would add an important contribution for the ICU health condition, but in order to be really useful, methods and discussion should be extended.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Francesca Scarpellini</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri, Italy</b>