Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76710
Title of the Manuscript:	Coagulase Negative Staphylococci (CONS): A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	It is an interesting review. However, is full of tables that are not mentioned/referred in the text. There should be a better explanation and an index for each part included in the article. The English should be improved. Reference in text and in the list of references are not written according to the guidelines. The author should consider either use "CoNS" or "CONS" but not both. Scientific names of microorganisms are not properly written throughout the text. I suggest to change the organization of the manuscript so it can be written from general to particular aspects of these microorganisms. Describe the microorganism, all its characteristics, characterization, use of traditional versus modern identification techniques. The importance of writing this review should be stated at the very beginning. Add final remarks/conclusion regarding the knowledge of Coagulase Negative Staphylococci according to this review.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Virginia A. Robinson-Fuentes
Department, University & Country	Universidad Michoacana de San Nicolas de Hidalgo, Mexico

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)