Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76695
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPACT OF BALANCE TRAINING AND CO-ORDINATION EXERCISES IN POST-OPERATIVE LEFT CEREBELLOPONTINE ANGLE TUMOR: A CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		, and the second
	For the follow up and results sector, there is a reference for improvement of the BBS and DGI and WHO-QOL. How did you come to this conclusion? Do you have any measurement with data? Did you run any statistical test, is there any statistically significant difference that results to this improvement?	
	Regarding the Discussion, did the general bibliography agree or disagree with your findings? How is this improvement important for the general population? Are any limitations to your case study?	
Minor REVISION comments		
	On the examination phase how did the authors measured the balance and coordination skills of the patient? What clinical test were used for the mentioned examination?	
	The Frenkel exercises have been developed us a technique for the coordination, did you apply the exercises for the balance or the coordination, because in the manuscript is corelated with the balance.	
	You used coordination exercises us a part of the rehabilitation program for the impairment of the coordination skills of the patient. Did you aim to examine the above ability as a post-evaluation measurement?	
Optional/General comments	In the Abstract there is a use of abbreviations like "CP", is easiest for the viewers to read the full form of the word, resulting to better understanding of the paragraph at this point.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Elena Papamichael	
Department, University & Country	University of Nicosia, Cyprus	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)