

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#"><u>Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</u></a>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JPRI_76639</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>UBROGEPANT –A REVIEW</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

## Review Form 1.6

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>This manuscript is focused on a new pharmaceutical drug for the treatment of human migraine. The topic is relevant and the article is interesting, but I recommend major revision. Overall, this review needs some improvement (You will find line numbers on the left hand side of the file supplied to carry out a proper peer-reviewing process):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Extensive editing of English language and style is required. Please check the spelling and syntax of the manuscript.</li> <li>- Please cite relevant papers when proved concepts are given: Line 20–22, “.....of light, vertigo, and loose stools”.</li> <li>- Line 23, please supply other causative factors for migraine and citation</li> <li>- Line 24–25, “.....Telcagepant was discontinued due to its hepatotoxicity”. Please cite a relevant paper.</li> <li>- Line 42, 43, 46, 49–50, 51–52, 53, 54–55, 56–57, please supply proper citations.</li> <li>- The 3th reference seems inappropriate.</li> <li>- The “Conclusion” appear to be poor and week in content. This part needs to be enriched and extended.</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- The authors used abbreviations in the article. When first appeared in the manuscript the descriptions should be the full name, then use abbreviation in the following content.</li> <li>- Pay attention to case sensitivity. Please go through the full article carefully</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gianmarco Stati
Department, University & Country	D'Annunzio University of Chieti - Pescara, Italy