

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76626
Title of the Manuscript:	An interesting sequel of COVID-19
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1.- The title should be more informative: Amoebic Liver abscess (after) and Covid-19</p> <p>2.- The WHO data regarding Covid-19 are from the third and fourth week of October 2020. This need to be updated and the date of the report provided in the text</p> <p>3.- There is no evidence of liver abscess being a direct sequel of the SarsCoV2 infection, which could or could not be related to the dexamethasone used. Further, the patient has diabetes and is on medication, but no proof of good control is given. These issues, besides the viral infection itself, should be appropriately discussed and elaborated in the discussion</p> <p>4.- The introduction of this case report describes amebiasis as if it was the initial disease in the patient. Instead, I suggest the introduction should start with the importance of suspecting or diagnosing other diseases concomitant to or after a SARSCoV2 infection</p> <p>5.- Authors should state in the text if they have reviewed the literature regarding liver or other abscesses (of any etiology) and if they did, discuss and compare the findings. Example J Surg Case Rep. 2021 Jul; 2021(7): rjab301. doi: 10.1093/jscr/rjab301</p> <p>6.- CO-RADS (Covid-19-Reporting and Data System) Classification.</p> <p>7.- RTPCR was negative for SARSCoV2</p> <p>8.- Please provide basal and increasing numbers of neutrophils</p> <p>9.- Please signal the lesions found in figure 1 and 2</p> <p>10.- Saline Solution wet-mount examination showed no pathogens/parasites (pus itself is not normal)</p> <p>11.- The start of the discussion should be adequately referenced. Reference number 4 regarding liver abscess and viral infection deals with HIV, not representative of general viral infections.</p> <p>12.- As stated above, discussion about other possible factors involved should be elaborated</p> <p>13.-</p>	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>The manuscript would benefit from a language revision for clarity</p>	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M.S Flores
Department, University & Country	Universidad Autónoma De Nuevo León, Mexico