

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76626
Title of the Manuscript:	An interesting sequel of COVID-19
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The subject is interesting and relevant, it is well presented, however in my opinion, a better presentation of the results is lacking. On the other hand, ALA is generally confirmed by PCR of the DNA obtained from abscess drains or, where appropriate, by ELISA test, identifying the anti-amoeba IgG antibody titre in the patient's serum, this allows a confirmatory diagnosis of ALA of amoebic or bacterial origin. Since only E. histolytica cysts were detected in the patient's feces, if they could do these tests, the case would be more complete.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Improve the presentation of your figures, separate them figure 1, figure 2 and 3 with independent titles and if possible, figure captions, and indicate with a mark what is highlighted in each figure. Likewise, the table must be improved in its presentation, and its titles clearer.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Although the work is on a patient, an ethical issue is not necessary since the patient’s personal data is not mentioned, and he was not subjected to any unnecessary or invasive test that would compromise his health and dignity.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Enrique Gonzalez Rivas</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universidad Nacional Autónoma De México, México</i>