Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76625
Title of the Manuscript:	AN UNUSUAL PRESENTATON OF TUBERCULOSIS WITH SEPTIC SHOCK AND IMMUNE RECONSTITUTION INFLAMMATORY SYNDROME IN AN IMMUNOCOMPETENT PATIENT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	In the whole manuscript, before the first time mentioned abbreviation, the expanded version should be stated. For instance, before ARDS, Adult Respiratory Distress Syndrome should be written. Names of bacteria should be written as follow: <i>Mycobacterium tuberculosis</i> , <i>Klebsiella pneumonia</i> , <i>Staphiloccocus epidermidis</i> etc. In the Figure 4 authors should add arrows to depict enlarged mediastinal lymph nodes with metabolic activity. In the Case report section, at the end of the fifth sentence, number of table (Table 1.) should be added. The authors should explain which pulmonary X-ray characteristics were suggestive of ARDS (Case report, the end of the fourth sentence- Fig. 1).	
Minor REVISION comments	My suggestion to authors is to create a list of abbreviations after Key words, which will make reading and understanding much easier to readers, especially, who is not an expert in the field.	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Klaudija Viskovic
Department, University & Country	University Hospital for Infectious Diseases, Zagreb, Croatia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)