

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_76018
Title of the Manuscript:	A Cross Sectional Study on Knowledge and Attitude regarding Exclusive Breast Feeding Among Women of Reproductive Age Group in Chennai, Tamil Nadu
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p><i>Dear authors: Thank you for coming up with this very interesting research. May I humbly recommend that you edit the following:</i></p> <p>TITLE I'm fine with it</p> <p>ABSTRACT Kindly improve your abstract (Kindly check also the main manuscript as I have left comments on each segment of your study).</p> <p>METHODOLOGY Make an introduction... This part presents the research design, population and sampling, participants of the study, research instruments, data gathering procedure and statistical tools. Please discuss the method used as defined by an authority. Also include the importance of using the method <u>Research Design</u> Please discuss the method used as defined by an authority. Also include the importance of using the method <u>Population and Sampling</u> Kindly put all in one paragraph for the population and sampling. Describe the sampling procedure. How did you select the population? Explain the characteristics of the respondents and the reasons why they are chosen as respondents <u>Research Instrument</u> Discuss the instrument used to gather necessary data. Is it a standardized one, or a developed one, or modified? Who is the author of the instrument? Indicate the validity and reliability of the instruments.</p> <p>Consult your statistician or please assign level of knowledge on exclusive breastfeeding based from the total score in the questionnaire (high knowledge is score is 35-40, if score is ____moderate etc...)</p> <p>With attitude- (always, often, never...etc). Please discuss it here</p>	

Review Form 1.6

	<p>Data Gathering Procedure Please include it by describing the procedure followed by the researcher from the time of the distribution of the questionnaire/instrument up to the retrieval. Give a brief narration of the procedure and discuss the details of every procedure/step undertaken in the course of gathering data. Include here ethical considerations.</p> <p>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION Follow APA format or format required by the journal.</p> <p>Please simplify the presentation of your data in 1 table to represent the level of knowledge and attitude per question or if you present it by table /graph, it should be presented with information/words- discuss the highlights of the tabular data. Interpret the findings as implied by the figures and numbers in the table/graph. Support your discussion of finding/s by the related literature or studies contained in Introduction/background (that is why I am recommending that you enrich it with related studies in that part. Furthermore, please choose one design for your graph for consistency and formality.</p> <p>CONCLUSION Answer here what your study did. Compare it with related studies. What is similar and is different from related studies vs your study</p> <p>What were the limitations</p> <p>REFERENCES: Kindly cite all references properly. Please see main document for my comments. References are not enough to support the study (have at least minimum of 20). Please enhance it by adding more related studies and remove not related to your study.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jesusa v. Gutierrez
Department, University & Country	University of Tabuk, KSA