

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75846
Title of the Manuscript:	Study of Placental chorangiosis in a tertiary care centre
Type of the Article	Authors did not specify, it is a retrospective study. Maybe apply in Clinical Practice Articles

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The Abstract is straightforward, but needs to be rewritten with attention to spelling. Sentences, spacing, etc. are missing full stops. The conclusion should be a response to the objective of the work, and it is not what happens. The authors propose a study of the causes of corangiosis. In conclusion it is said that it leads to morbidity and mortality. Authors need to have more clarity on the work hypothesis. Authors say they have been approved by the ethics committee, but must add the approval number to the paper. Authors should add more images of the placentas proving corangiosis. The discussion needs to be improved. How do demographics relate to corangiosis? The data obtained in the work were little explored and little correlated. The discussion is broken point-to-point. The conclusion must be enriched.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> No. Authors say they have been approved by the ethics committee, but must add the approval number to the paper.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kíssila Rabelo
Department, University & Country	Rio de Janeiro State University, Brazil