Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_75036
Title of the Manuscript:	A Case Report On Hirschprung's Disease
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are multiple problems with this manuscript. First, the grammar is very poor and the text itself needs to be edited for proper grammar and punctuation. As far as the clinical description, it's half hazard, out of chronological order, provides dates that make no sense and does not clearly describe the medical history of the patient. For example, the paper states that the patient has not had passed stool since birth, then goes on to describe that the patient has been passing stool daily with the use of enema. It is unclear why the patient was brought into to hospital at the age of 2, when the patient apparently had no symptoms and a normal physical exam. This paper must be rewritten and presented in the proper format of medical history, physical findings, medical and surgical treatment and outcome. It needs to be written with proper grammar and spell checked prior to submission for editorial review	
Minor REVISION comments	Major revisions need	
Optional/General comments	See first paragraph	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rose Berkun
Department, University & Country	University at Buffalo, United States

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)