

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74362
Title of the Manuscript:	IN VITRO ANTI-INFLAMMATORY PROPERTIES OF VARIOUS EXTRACTS OF ANDROGRAPHIS ECHIOIDES
Type of the Article	RESEARCH ARTICLE

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. An original article should be good in terms of grammatical and spelling matters. I have found some mistakes there & that are marked with RED colour and the correct word is mentioned just right to the incorrect word(s) as GREEN MARK.2. In this ORIGINAL RESEARCH, the author worked on ANDROGRAPHIS ECHIOIDES, it was important to draw a table with some raw photographs of the plant parts and the extracts to make the paper authentic.3. I must say, abstracts should be unique with not more than two paragraphs. Results & Discussion part need not to include as a different paragraph in the abstract.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Need to correct the reference style in the whole text. (Alphabetical ordering would be good)	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Mistakes (marked with red colour) Add the relevant photographs of plant parts and extracts.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Jony Mallik
Department, University & Country	Bangladesh