

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_74344
Title of the Manuscript:	An evaluation of long term physical exercise on cognitive function in the age group of 40-55 years of both genders
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Keywords have to take from title and delete Non-physical exercise and innovative finding.</p> <p>- Introduction:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The introduction needs to rewrite because there is a wrong paragraphs or sentences.2. Moreover there is repeat sentences such (Aim of present work is to report actual evidence in long term physical exercise on cognitive function).3. Researcher needs to connect the sentences correctly.4. Merge the sentences and paragraphs which have the following words (physical exercise helps to improve cognitive functions) in one paragraph.5. Delete the last paragraph because it talks about responses to heart rate etc and his study talks about cognitive functions. <p>- Materials and methods. I need to understand the following questions.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. in which country did the study take place?2. how many female was participated in the study?3. Why merge the female and male together in one group?4. How the researcher selected the simple of the study?5. Does the subject of the study healthy people?6. Explain the MINI MENTAL STATE EXAMINATION which used in present study extensively.7. Explain the physical exercise program for group one. <p>- Results.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The results of statistical analysis are not corrected. The researcher used Mean and standard deviation. These rules are not enough to get a correct result and to know the different between two groups.2. the discussion is not conduct correctly. The researcher write more support references to show the important of his work.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ammar Hamza Hadi
Department, University & Country	University of Babylon, Iraq