

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_71277
Title of the Manuscript:	CHANCES OF GETTING BREAST CANCER IN WOMEN WHO START SMOKING AS TEENAGERS
Type of the Article	Empirical study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Sorry, but I got confused, maybe it's just a matter of translation or good writing, so two important points are needed. First, I suggest an English review by a native speaker. Second, review the results and compare them with the conclusion, as it seems to me that they disagree.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In the last sentence "and other aspects of lifestyle habits", before the subtitle Study Design, other aspects of lifestyle are mentioned, I believe it is relevant to mention all these aspects evaluated, because they are important factors that influence the objective of the study in question. For example, a sedentary life or not, a more natural type of diet or not, which directly influence the specially acquired immune system.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I congratulate the author(s) for researching such a challenging topic. We always need information about this. I believe that prevention is the best way.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rosane Malvestiti
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil