

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_71215
Title of the Manuscript:	Study on Work Safety for Employees in Radiology Department
Type of the Article	Study protocol

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  Grammar, punctuations Structure/presentation Flow of idea	<p>There is serious grammatic and punctuation errors, inappropriate ordering of tenses, repetitions of words/tautology, woolly tenses, throughout the contents (from abstract, introduction to conclusion).</p> <p>Presentation and structuring are inappropriate, mixing of theoretical concepts and the discussion under different subheadings.</p> <p>Methodology lacks the step-by-step presentation of how the study was conducted, or how data collection was carried out.</p> <p>Results/finding are presented in one sentence. This does not show the variables under study or used for assessment or measurement in the study.</p> <p>There is observable loss of flow of ideas in certain sections making those sections laborious to read and comprehend by the reader.</p> <p>Limitations reported in the study discussed the pros and cons of the subject and not the limitations of the study methodology. The researcher should be clear on what he/she wants to report.</p> <p><b>Advice:</b> review, restructure, rephrase contents in line with journal presentation standard using good grammar, ordering of tenses and punctuations.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>Object of the study</b>	<p>The objectives of the study stated in plural 'objectives' presented only one objective. It is advisable to state the object in in an active voice, e.g., To....</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Paul Rambe Yunana
Department, University & Country	North East, Nigeria