Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_71079
Title of the Manuscript:	Factors Associated with the Mental Health of Health Care Workers Exposed to Pandemic-Covid-19
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy)

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic of the study is very impressive, the problems facing by the health care workers deserve attention.	
	Abstract:	
	The independent variables and the dependent variable should highlight in this section	
	2. The main finding should mention for example work pressure (β = 0.764). The contribution of the study to the body of knowledge should highlight	
	Introduction 3. The main issue has been supported by the current research papers which is very good, nevertheless the uniqueness of the study or the gap of the study did not mention clearly.	
	Literature Review 4. This section is missing in this manuscript	
	 Methodology 5. The sample 433 is sufficient to conduct factor analysis 6. The questionnaire went through pilot test? 7. 7 factors have identified however not all the factors are significant. It is better to mention and provided the justification. 8. The factor of Anxiety is missing in the multiple regression table 	
	Conclusion Since the "work pressure" is the most important determinant that affect the mental health of the worker it is more convincing if the researcher could further elaborate regarding this issue.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	1.remove unnecessary table for example table 6 2. certain terms should rectify for example Number of stress related questions, demographic and non-demographic variables. (factors that affecting the mental health) 3. Table 9, There are 2 Factor 6	
Optional/General comments	It is a good research paper, I believe it can trigger the interest of other researchers to further investigate the issue	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lim Seng Poh
Department, University & Country	Penang Matriculation College Malaysia, Malaysia

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)