

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_88750
Title of the Manuscript:	ANTIHYPERTENSIVE AND IN SILICO DOCKING STUDIES OF PHYTOCONSTITUENTS ISOLATED FROM SYZYGIUM ALTERNIFOLIUM BARK
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	The study is very consistent, scientifically sound and brings important therapeutic information. One aspect that requires compulsory revision is the English language throughout the article, as some aspects are very difficult to understand because of the incorrect English expression.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Some other aspects that the authors should address are: -the Abstract section is not structured; the only part that is specified is the Aim of the study. The authors should follow the guidelines of the Journal in this respect; -the abbreviations should be explained the first time they appear in the text; -the authors should formulate the null hypothesis and the test hypothesis; -the authors should specify the type of the study they conducted; -in the Results section, the expression of the results of statistical analysis is not clear. The authors should remedy that; -the Figures are not cited in the text. The authors should remedy that; -the References are old.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bobu Livia Ionela
Department, University & Country	University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania