

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ JOCAMR_88330
Title of the Manuscript:	CHARACTERIZATION AND EVALUATION OF THE EFFECTS OF INDIGOFERA PULCHRA, ARISTOLOCHIA ALBIDA AND ANDROGRAPHIS PANICULATA LEAVE EXTRACT PHENOLICS AGAINST THE ACTIVITY OF NAJA NIGRICOLLIS AND ECHIS OCELLATUS SNAKE VENOMS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijbcrr.com/index.php/IJBCRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>I have just reviewed this manuscript. In this work, the researchers investigated <i>Indigofera pulchra</i>, <i>Aristolochia albida</i> and <i>Andrographis paniculata</i> leave extract phenolics against the activity of <i>Naja nigricollis</i> and <i>Echis ocellatus</i> snake venoms. It is an interesting study, and the results are convincing and novel. Moreover, the researchers successfully demonstrated their results, which are also in line with previous studies that the authors quoted in the discussion section. I also appreciate that the discussion was separated from the results, as it would have been hard to follow otherwise. The manuscript is overall well written, and I recommend the publication of this manuscript only after the following (minor) concerns are considered:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Figure 1a and 2b have to be modified. The resolution is very poor.• Several grammar errors have to be corrected. I suggest that the authors run a grammar check for the entire manuscript.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Baran Teoman
Department, University & Country	New Jersey Institute of Technology, United States