

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Complementary and Alternative Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JOCAMR_87412
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological Analysis, Quality and Safety Evaluation of Commercially Sold Medicinal Herbal Cocktails from Local Herb Seller within Akoko South West, Ondo State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljocamr.com/index.php/JOCAMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>There are some grammar and language mistakes that should be corrected. Also the space between words should be fixed.</p> <p>The abstract is long, so it should be summarized. The abstract should contain a concise introduction, aim of work, a concise method of work, brief results, and recommendations. The introduction is very long, so it should be summarized. Also it is not complete. It should have the aim of the work at its end. Co-friendly should be corrected to eco- friendly. Hence this study.....what?!</p> <p>Any measuring unit should be written without space between it and the measured number, such as 84hours, 360x10⁻⁵CFU/ml, and this observation should be applied on the whole paper.</p> <p>All references should be written by united way, such as the year of publication should be written between () in all references or without () in all references.</p>	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samah Metwally Mahmoud Abd EL-Aziz
Department, University & Country	The National Research Centre, Egypt