

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_85105
Title of the Manuscript:	GEOGRAPHICAL DESCRIPTION OF KUMADUGU-YOBE RIVER BASIN OF WEST AFRICA
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The article is a review article that may be of interest to a wide audience. The structure of the document is clear and the objectives are relevant. Overall, the concept is consistent with the scope of Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International. If some improvements are made, I think it deserves to be published in your journal.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Add a general introduction to your document: the importance of your work for decision makers, producers or in relation to other work that may be complementary or otherwise.- Organize the species of the wooded Savanna in a table (page 10)- Figure 1 and 4 are very similar, to be checked- There are references that exist in the text but do not appear in the list of references, e.g. (FAO, 2012) page 5: to be verified- Add a conclusion to your document	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The authors described the Kumadugu-Yobe basin of West Africa. The structure adopted is adapted to the nature of this study. The topic is relevant with great importance.-The text must be reinforced by a conclusion	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Lionboui Hayat
Department, University & Country	National Institute of Agronomic Research (INRA), Morocco