

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_84237
Title of the Manuscript:	Validation of the Protoplanetary Theory of Solar System Formation
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The article is entitled “Validation of the Protoplanetary Theory of Solar System Formation”. The authors tried their best to explain the hypothesis on the origin of the sun and planets, as modified by Laplace, foreshadowed the modern protoplanetary theory of planet formation in which planets are thought to form within giant gaseous protoplanets. The protoplanetary theory was popular in the 1940s and 1950s, but was abandoned and ignored by phenomenological model-makers in the early 1960s who favored the planetesimal theory. Here, the author validates the protoplanetary theory by Thermodynamic considerations; Observations of internal magnetic field generation; Observations of Mercury; and, Observations of Earth's behavior.</p> <p>I appreciated the Manuscript, but there are many technical, grammatical, and common mistakes in the article which should be corrected by the authors. Authors strictly follow the instructions and format of the respective Journal. After the correction of all the mistakes, the article could be considered for publication in the prestigious <u>Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International</u>.</p> <p>Technical, grammatical, and common mistakes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">➤ The abstract is very short. Please make them with more explanation.➤ Write keywords in alphabetical order.➤ The introduction part is very short and didn't give much more fruitful information. The author wants to revise it and focus particularly on the Solar System Formation”➤ Mentioned the Figures in the text.➤ The author mentioned in so many places I. why? What is mean?➤ Check grammatically and spelling throughout the manuscript. There are so many mistakes.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tariq Aziz
Department, University & Country	Westlake University, China