

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Geography, Environment and Earth Science International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JGEESI_82569
Title of the Manuscript:	GEOGRAPHICAL ANALYSIS OF CROP CONCENTRATION AND CROP DIVERSIFICATION OF DHULE DISTRICT (MAHARASHTRA)
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalgeesi.com/index.php/JGEESI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Abstract should be redone, it should begin with the main statement of the problem, the main objective of the study, the main research methods used, the results (preferable should show statistics) and the main recommendation.-The introduction should be improved upon: The researcher should support this section with literature from other parts of the world to guide this present study. The concepts of crop concentration and crop diversification should be well explained here as cited by other authors, the significance and at the end state the problem statement of this study.-The study area should be improved, by further explaining the physical elements like climate, soil, topography and other local factors in the study area that crop concentration and diversification depend on.- In the results and discussion section, after explaining the degree of concentration and diversification of the different crops in the different regions, the researcher should discuss them with aspects of climatic parameters, soil and topography giving reasons why they are high, low or moderate.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Titles of figures and tables should be explicit.-Figures and Tables are written as Figure 1, Table 1 and not Table no 1-All Tables or Figures in the work should be referred to and interpreted, for example Table 1 and 3 and Figure 2 were not interpreted.-The researcher should bring out clearly how this study will help in agricultural planning and development and propose a way forward.-The researcher should proofread carefully to eliminate grammatical errors	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Titles and Sub titles were not numbered-The Map of the study area is not very visible	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nformi Beatrice Maluh
Department, University & Country	University of Buea, Cameroon