

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_89022
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Online Learning during the Covid-19 pandemic against the traditional face-to-face learning experience for a STEM related subject, Analytical
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy>)

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Nothing	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1-In-text citation 2- Statistical analysis 1-The researcher used numbers to show the references and did not use the in-text citation. May be this is a new academic style, but I prefer using them . 2- many statistical methods were used to prove just one point that face-to face teaching is better than online learning.	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	The paper stated two hypothesis: A null and an alternative one . One of them is enough. Instead of two hypothesis, Null and Alternative , one of them is enough as both of them give the same meaning	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u>	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tahany Abdelhady Abdelrazek Kol
Department, University & Country	Albaha University, Egypt