Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_88030
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Fishing Activities on School Attendance, Dropout and Academic Performance Among Students in Lamu East Sub County, Kenya
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The topic of research is an interesting one and the researcher tried to present the true situation which calls for commendation. However, the researcher need to carryout some minor reviews. 1. The indicated areas in red should be deleted and those in blue applied. 2. This study will make a better reading if the author could take time to create another subheading; 'Data presentation and analysis before the subhead 'Discussion of findings'. This is because the work seems to be over clustered making the reading so boring. In trying to substantiate the findings, the author should not go on citing all related findings in their full state. Let them be mentioned. 3. The analysis of hypotheses in the area of collaborating findings involves a lot of literature review like citations. After first collaborating citation other related ones should be mentioned. 4. The author should provide answer to the 'How' To enhance academic performance, strategies to minimize students' involvement in fishing and to increase their participation in school should be put in place. (see main text). 5. The author should come up with workable recommendations, based on the findings. 6. Generally, the author(s) should check the use of tenses. 7. The author should as well cross-check the references more so in the citation of Theses and Dissertations.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	
	No	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Onwubiko, Emmanuel Chidiadi
Department, University & Country	Alex Ekwueme Federal University, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)