Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_83797
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE THERAPEUTIC EFFECT OF YOGA TO ENHANCE PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING AMONG ADOLESCENT GIRL STUDENTS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The theme is very important, since young citizens must remain healthy in order to grow into well-developed adults.	
	There are a few spelling and sintax errors in the paper. The author doesn't seem to be 100% fluent in English.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	There are flaws in the Methodology which must be corrected: 1) How and when were the participants selected?	
	"A total of 60 unmarried adolescent girls were selected according to inclusion and exclusion criteria, between the age group 13-15 years and randomly divided into 02 groups".	
	The division into two groups was random but not the selection of the 60 participants, so it seems.	
	 2) Why wasn't the study registered as a randomized controlled trial? 3) The Checklist must be explained and attached; 4) How can the hypothesis be rejected on page 7 if it wasn't mentioned before? 5) The number of references in the reference list is very small. More articles on the subject should be included, especially when the author mention that: "Yoga is more powerful and better than any other exercise to improve a variety of health-related outcomes". 6) The conclusion is biased and must be rephrased. 	
Optional/General comments		

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pamela Siegel	
Department, University & Country	State University of Campinas, Brazil	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)