Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_82761
Title of the Manuscript:	Validation of the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support on Nigerian Female Undergraduates
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of 'lack of Novelty', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and
		highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
	Expand the abstract and write a few lines on the result. Please mention the timeline of the study.	
Minor REVISION comments		
	.The paper lacks novelty and continuity in the analysis and provides general findings.	
	2. Abstract need to be expanded and the outcome of the study must be included.	
	 The introduction section is poorly written and very few references have been cited. The author is advised to rewrite the section conceptualizing the theme of the research citing the latest research papers. A literature review of the similar studies performed may be summarized in the introduction section for a better understanding of the theme. Some recently updated references need to be added. Statement of problem section may be short and clubbed with the introduction part to give a holistic picture of the theme. 	
Optional/General comments		
	I have reviewed the Manuscript Ms_JESBS_82761 entitled "Validation of the Multidimensional Scale of Perceived Social Support on Nigerian Female Undergraduates" and found it relevant for the journal. It may be published after certain modifications outlined in the General remarks and recommendations for the Author section. The research addresses a very important theme and certainly going to contribute to the study discipline.	

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prabuddh Kumar Mishra
Department, University & Country	University of Delhi, India

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)