

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Education, Society and Behavioural Science</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JESBS_82329
Title of the Manuscript:	ANALYSIS OF INDUSTRY COMMUNITY RESILIENCE BASED ON SEA PRODUCTS COMMUNITY ON BUNGIN ISLAND DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljesbs.com/index.php/JESBS/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	Research novelty has not been clearly stated. The discussion has not been supported by supporting references. It is necessary to add several references that can support the review of research result in the DISCUSSION section. The references listed in the manuscript do not match those stated in the REFERENCES section (It is recommended to use Reference System). References in this manuscript are very limited. Therefore, it must be added with the several latest references that can support the importance of this study and the review of research result in the DISCUSSION section.	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Overall, this manuscript does not fit the template in Guideline for Authors of Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology. COMPETING INTERESTS and AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION section is not yet available.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	In my opinion, this article may be published after a comprehensive and serious revision by the authors.	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Endang Retno Wedowati
Department, University & Country	Universitas Wijaya Kusuma Surabaya, Indonesia