

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_88676
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF SURFACE WATER CONTAMINATION BY LEACHATE FROM UNCONTROLLED LANDFILLS: A CASE STUDY OF YENAGOA CENTRAL WASTE DUMP, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	METHODOLOGY: In your sample collection, kindly indicate the step by step procedures upon collecting the water samples. Example how many mL of water samples did you collected? This will show important information to the readers. RESULT and DISCUSSIONS: Kindly integrate related literature that supports your data. Previous study that has been conducted in the area of concern or study with in your country as well. This would suggest comparative data that is important to your study. In your TABLE 2, you mentioned temporal be specific, in what time you collected your water samples. Time is essential for the physical and chemical characteristics of the water most specially when it's hot weather. Hot weather mostly affects bacterial growth. Each of the presented data like the graph explain with corresponding related literatures. CONCLUSION: Do not repeat here the data you obtained. However state the highlights of your research and its implications to the concerned entity.	
Optional/General comments	Accepted for Publications, as long as the above-mentioned suggestions will be follow.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cresencio C. Cabuga
Department, University & Country	ACLC College of Butuan, Philippines