## **Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_86970
Title of the Manuscript:	Characterization of monostatic radar system for accuracy improvement through parameter estimation
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

# (https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy) PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	First of all, I would like to congratulate the authors of this work, here are some suggestions:	
	<ul> <li>Please better develop the Abstract of this work, highlighting the real relevance of this article for the World Scientific Community, 200-250 words;</li> </ul>	
	- Please enter 3-5 keywords, relevant to this research;	
	- Please insert more Reference in the Introduction of this work, highlighting the problem;	
	<ul> <li>Please work better on the following topics, Methodology, Results, and Conclusion of this work, address and discuss in more detail these topics;</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>I suggest inserting another topic right after the conclusion of this work, named Future Works, presenting what is expected in this work for the future;</li> </ul>	
	<ul> <li>Insert more Reference, high impact factor, current (2016-2022), and, Journal of Engineering Research and Reports;</li> </ul>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

## PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

## **Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Gabriel Gomes de Oliveira
Department, University & Country	UNICAMP Universidade Estadual de Campinas, FEEC, Brazil

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)