

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_84217
Title of the Manuscript:	A Systematic Approach to Reduce Wirebond defects caused by Tight Wire Loop Profile on Ball Grid Array Packages
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Fig. 7.2 should be explained.In ANOVA test it is said that "there is significant difference" ✚ Yet it should be given that how much difference is expected and accepted, ✚ The reason of the difference should also be found and explained	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">In ANOVA test it is said that "there is significant difference" ✚ Yet should be given data of benchmark or standard (if any) so that both the methods may be compared with the standard.,	
Optional/General comments	Grammatical check should be done in the entire document.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maanish Bhandari
Department, University & Country	Mbm University Jodhpur, India