

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Engineering Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_84182
Title of the Manuscript:	Straw Paper for Art Applications – A Paper Development Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Sentences should be short and precise.2. Abstract= (The paper products burst load in psi ranged from 2.49 and 4.71). Correct it like this, "The paper products burst load in psi ranged between 2.49 to 4.71".3. key words should not be 6<4. correct the grammar error in the introduction section7. In last paragraph of the introduction section, first write the research gap that you are going to fill, then write the aim of your research. e.g. there isproblem. Therefore, this research aims to8. Materials and methodsMaterial and methods (correct)9. instead of listing the materials used, it is better if you show us the materials used within method10. please avoid the word "for this research" and replace it with "in this study"11. fig 1....." flow chart"12. fig 2. instead of citing reference in the caption, write Source: (full citation/name, year, journal or book/)13. don't use underline for topic or sub topics14. Please rewrite the conclusion part. The conclusion should not be greater than one paragraph and 30 lines. You are expected to conclude based on your result.14. In last few sentences of the conclusion section, please write recommendation. Recommendation shows the research gap of this study that will be studied in the future.	
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	Justify the margins of the paragraphs and correct the grammar	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Generally, it is a very good research and publishable manuscript	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Solomon Tibebe Gebreabe
Department, University & Country	Addis Ababa Science and Technology University, Ethiopia