

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JERR_83442
Title of the Manuscript:	Combined Effect of Marble Dust and Waste Paper Sludge in Improving Engineering Properties of Black-cotton Soil of Gelan Area, Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>There are not enough literature studies in manuscript. Therefore, it is recommended to add more latest studies in manuscript.</p> <p>There is few information added in literature section without proper citation (kindly see manuscript)</p> <p>The manuscript needs proof reading. There are lot of grammar mistakes in manuscript.</p> <p>The results are not properly explained. Please add more details, why soil properties increased with addition of admixtures/paper sludge/marble dust.</p> <p>Why you select 5%, 10% &amp; 15% of wastepaper sludge also same for marble dust?</p> <p>Can you add more literature studies related to choosing these percentages?</p>	
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>The figures quality needs improvement.</p> <p>Please see manuscript for more comments</p>	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>Instead of adding results in tabular form, its better to present data in graphical form.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Sohaib Naseer
Department, University & Country	Nottingham Trent University, United Kingdom