

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_85970
Title of the Manuscript:	THE TRAJECTORY OF EVOLUTION OF STATE PARTICIPATION IN NATURAL RESOURCES IN DEVELOPING NATIONS UNDER INTERNATIONAL LAW: THE NIGERIAN EXPERIENCE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>State participation in natural resources in developing nations is a scientific area of great interest. Here authors review what is currently known about the Nigerian experience under the government participation in the evaluation of the natural resources. This is an important aspect to make the people's lifestyle above the poverty line. Every nation has the basic right that its nominated people must depend on and focus on the goals for the development and/or betterment of the nation. Overall, I am positive about this review. However, the manuscript has important deficiencies that should be modified before it is published.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The article title and theme are well-posed.2. In section 6.1 more references needs to be added to support the study.3. In section 5, the Nigerian government has mentioned the shares in the oil production companies, and with time the shares are revised as mentioned by the authors but still, the nation is below the poverty line, why?4. Law acts, associations, and boards are the managerial parameters that need to improve or their implementation is lacking on the grassroots level?5. Corruption at the government level how can be reduced?6. The references [8, 9, 12, 16, 23, 25, 26, 36-40, 47-49, 51, 53-55], contains the word "Ibid" is not clear or makes no sense. What does this mean? Same description as different reference numbers.7. Recommendations must be written in bullets.8. Mention the explanation summary of above question (4, 5) in the recommendation.9. Check out the grammar mistakes thoroughly and needs improvement.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Tamoor
Department, University & Country	University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China