

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Energy Research and Reviews</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_85629
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation Beyond a Review: Developments in Heat Pump Dryers from the Recent Past to the Present
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The article is interesting and a good review. It will significantly contribute to the agri-based industries. However, there are lots of writing problems. The use of English needs improvement. The meaning of several sentences is not clear. Carefully check the meaning of each sentence. Major issues of existing technologies and future research scopes need to be discussed in more detail. The conclusion is very simple. It should be to the point and should induce further research interest to the researchers.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Akash Samanta
Department, University & Country	University of Ontario Institute of Technology, Canada