

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_85171
Title of the Manuscript:	Household Fuel Choice in Urban Kenya: A Multinomial Logit Analysis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	NA	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The paper is laudable. Diligent efforts have been put in by the authors. The conclusions are apposite and have been nicely summed up.</p> <p>The paper is suitable for publication with minor revisions.</p> <p>The paper should incorporate the following :</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Conversion of biomass residue anaerobically into methane in a biogas plant instead of burning the biomass directly2. Supplying the biogas from biogas plants to households using metered pipelines3. Techno-economic viability of Liquified Natural Gas with respect to Liquified Petroleum Gas4. Providing monetary incentive schemes to residents to promote biomass procurement5. Blending biomass with coal and using it in thermal power stations fitted with Carbon Capture and Utilization units instead of households to extol the concept of a circular economy	
Optional/General comments	NA	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Partho Pratim Chatterjee
Department, University & Country	India