

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_84770
Title of the Manuscript:	Interlinkages between Energy Consumption and Economic Growth: A Review, an ARDL Bounds Testing Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Research Gap is not clearly identified/ or mentioned properly.- Logic behind employing ARDL is not clearly justified.- Post-estimation tests are required to justify the suitability of the model.	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- The research Gap is not clearly identified/ or mentioned properly.- Recommendation part is missing.- Some empirical studies are outdated. Do the review of articles published later than 2010.	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Reframing of the article is suggested.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tej Prasad Devkota
Department, University & Country	Nepal