Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Journal of Energy Research and Reviews
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JENRR_84770
Title of the Manuscript:	Interlinkages between Energy Consumption and Economic Growth: A Review, an ARDL Bounds Testing Approach
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that <u>NO</u> manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '<u>lack of Novelty'</u>, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(https://www.journaljenrr.com/index.php/JENRR/editorial-policy)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	None	
Minor REVISION comments	The Abstract should indicate some statistical results/records based on findings The Abstract should not carry reference (Odhiambo (2009), remove the highlighted section from the Abstract	
Optional/General comments	The INTRODUCTION is too lengthy. It should be reviewed. (see, e.g.,) Siemsen et al. 2010, the remove the highlighted section should not be part of the references in the write-up. So it should be remove before writing the references.	

PART 2:

		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Joseph Sunday Oyepata
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Technology Akure, Nigeria

Created by: EA Checked by: ME Approved by: CEO Version: 1.6 (10-04-2018)